



# Proposed New Large Network Asset Kadina East Transformer Capacity South Australia Application Notice

ElectraNet Pty Ltd (ABN 41 094 482 416)

Version 1.5 July 2009

## COPYRIGHT © ElectraNet - All Rights Reserved

This document is protected by copyright vested in ElectraNet. No part of this document may be reproduced in any form without limitation unless prior written permission is obtained from ElectraNet.

## **Important Information and Disclaimer**

This application notice has been prepared for the purposes of clause 5.6.6 of the National Electricity Rules. It may be used by Registered Participants and interested parties only for the purposes of the consultation process under that clause. This application notice should not be used or relied on for any other purposes. This application notice will be superseded by a final report which is to be prepared after the consultation process is complete. The contents of the final report may differ from the contents of this application notice.

This application notice contains analysis based on estimates prepared by, and assumptions made by, ElectraNet and ETSA Utilities. The document has also been prepared using information, including cost information, provided by a number of third parties. The cost estimates used to evaluate the options described are based on the best information available to ElectraNet and ETSA Utilities at the time of preparing the report and should not be taken as necessarily reflecting the actual costs of later implementing an option.

The application notice contains the results of financial modelling and economic analysis undertaken by ElectraNet and ETSA Utilities. It contains assumptions regarding, among other things, economic growth and load forecasts that may or may not prove to be correct.

While care was taken in preparation of the information in this Application Notice, and it is provided in good faith, neither ElectraNet nor ETSA Utilities warrant the accuracy of the contents of this final report, and so far as the law allows accept no responsibility or liability for any loss or damage that may be incurred by any person acting in reliance on this final report or assumptions drawn from it.





# **Contents**

1.	INTRO	ODUCTION	7
2.	BACK	GROUND	7
	2.1	GEOGRAPHIC AREA AND EXISTING SUPPLY NETWORK	7
	2.2	Existing Network and Operational Constraints	10
	2.3	PLANNED/ COMMITTED NETWORK DEVELOPMENTS	10
	2.4	COMMITTED GENERATION FACILITIES	11
	2.5	ELECTRICITY DEMAND	11
3.	SERV	/ICE OBLIGATIONS	13
	3.1	SOUTH AUSTRALIAN ELECTRICITY TRANSMISSION CODE	13
	3.2	NATIONAL ELECTRICITY RULES	13
4.	IDEN	TIFIED NETWORK LIMITATIONS	13
	4.1	KADINA EAST INSTALLED TRANSFORMER CAPACITY	13
5.	OPTIO	ONS CONSIDERED	14
	5.1	Non-network Options	14
	5.2	NETWORK OPTIONS	15
	5.2.1	Permanent or Rapid Load Transfer	
	5.2.2 5.2.3	Rebuilding Kadina East as a 2x60MV.A 132/33kV Substation Installing Three 25MV.A Transformers	
6.	TECH	INICAL DETAILS	15
	6.1	Transformer Capacity	16
	6.2	132kV Switchyard Arrangement	16
	6.2.1	Option 1: Breaker-and-a-Half Arrangement	
	6.2.2	Option 2: Meshed Configuration	18
	6.3	ELECTRANET SCOPE OF WORKS REQUIRED FOR 132KV CONNECTION	19
	6.4	ETSA UTILITIES SCOPE OF WORK FOR 33KV CONNECTION	20
	6.5	CONSTRUCTION TIMETABLE AND COMMISSIONING DATE	21
	6.6	COMPLIANCE WITH SERVICE OBLIGATIONS	21





7.	INTR	A-NETWORK IMPACT	22
8.	INTE	R-NETWORK IMPACT	22
9.	SCEN	IARIOS CONSIDERED	22
	9.1	CONTEXT FOR EVALUATION OF OPTIONS	22
	9.2	ASSUMED MARKET DEVELOPMENT SCENARIOS	23
	9.2.1	Existing Network and Future Transmission Developments	
	9.2.2	Committed and Potential Generation and Demand Side Developments	23
	9.2.3	Variations in Load Growth	23
10.	FORM	MAT OF AND INPUTS TO ANALYSIS	24
	10.1	REGULATORY TEST REQUIREMENTS	24
	10.2	INPUTS TO ANALYSIS	24
	10.3	Cost of Network Augmentations	25
11.	ECO	NOMIC ANALYSIS	25
	11.1	INPUTS TO ANALYSIS	25
	11.2	APPLICATION OF THE REGULATORY TEST	25
12.	DRAF	T RECOMMENDATION	26
13.	CON	SULTATION	26
14	ΔPPF	FNDIX A FINANCIAL ANALYSIS	1





# **Glossary of Terms**

Term	Description
AER	Australian Energy Regulator
AMD	Agreed Maximum Demand – for a connection point or a group of connection points this is the contracted demand specified in the connection agreement between ElectraNet and the relevant transmission customer or ETSA Utilities.
Application Notice	A notice made available to Registered Participants and Interested Parties pursuant to clause 5.6.6 of the Rules
Distribution Code EDC	South Australian Electricity Distribution Code – as issued by ESCOSA
DNSP	Distribution Network Service Provider
ElectraNet	ElectraNet is the principle transmission network service provider in South Australia. It is a privately owned company that has a long term lease for the operation, maintenance, and development of the South Australian transmission system which comprises plant and equipment mainly operating at voltages of 132 kV and above. ElectraNet is registered with NEMMCO as a Transmission Network Service Provider (TNSP)
Equivalent Transformer Capacity	Capacity to transform energy to meet demand using means including, but not limited to:  transmission system capability;
	<ul> <li>network support arrangements.</li> </ul>
	as defined in the ESCOSA Electricity Transmission Code
ESCOSA	Essential Services Commission of South Australia established under the Essential Services Commission Act 2002
ETC	South Australian Electricity Transmission Code issued by ESCOSA
ETSA Utilities	ETSA Utilities is South Australia's principal Distribution Network Service Provider (DNSP), and is responsible for the distribution of electricity to all distribution grid connected customers within the State. ETSA Utilities is a partnership of Cheung Kong Infrastructure Holdings Ltd (CKI), Hong Kong Electric International Ltd (HEI), and Spark Infrastructure
Market Participant	A person who has registered with NEMMCO as a Market Generator, Market Customer or Market Network Service Provider





June 2009

under Chapter 2 of the Rules

NEM National Electricity Market

NEMMCO National Electricity Market Management Company Limited

NPV Net Present Value

PoE Probability of Exceedance

Registered A person who is registered with NEMMCO as a Network Service Participant Provider, a System Operator, a Network Operator, a Special

Participant, a Generator, a Customer or a Market Participant

major regulated network augmentation investments must satisfy

RFI Request for Information

RFP Request for Proposals

Rules or NER National Electricity Rules

TNSP Transmission Network Service Provider

WACC Weighted Average Cost of Capital





June 2009

# **Executive Summary**

Kadina East substation is a 132/33kV transmission network connection point that is located in northern Yorke Peninsula, and is supplied radially from Hummocks substation, 35 kilometres to the east. Kadina East currently contains a single 25MVA 132/33 kV transformer and minimal associated 132kV and 33kV infrastructure. It has no communications, no Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) facilities and therefore no remote supervisory and control capability.

In the most recent version of the Electricity Transmission Code (ETC) (July 2008), the level of supply reliability for the Kadina East connection point has been increased from Category 1 to Category 2 requiring ElectraNet to now provide N-1 equivalent transformer capacity at the substation, rather than N capacity as was the previous requirement.

ElectraNet must also maintain the adequacy of this equivalent transformer capacity to meet expected load growth over time.

ElectraNet has considered both network and non-network alternatives to address the identified inability to meet the ETC reliability standards and has determined that installing two 60MV.A transformers (the next largest standard-size transformer presently used by ElectraNet) to replace the function of the single existing 25MV.A transformer, is the most technically and economically sound approach to satisfy both the new requirements of the ETC and forecast load growth.

Accompanying the transformer installation, the proposed new large transmission network asset includes the establishment of an appropriately configured 132kV switchyard that will facilitate the transformer N-1 requirement. Also included is telecommunications infrastructure for establishment of a microwave link to provide a remote supervisory and control capability.

To accommodate the resulting increase in 33kV fault levels and to fully utilise the increased capacity of the substation, the proposed development also includes ETSA Utilities rebuilding its 33kV switchyard at the substation and establishing an additional 33kV connection from the substation to the load centre.

This Application Notice presents the technical and economic justifications for the proposed new large transmission network asset, which has an estimated total capital cost of \$25.9m, of which \$19.9m is attributable to ElectraNet's portion of the work, and \$6.0m to ETSA Utilities' portion. In accordance with the ETC reliability standards, the augmentation must be commissioned and commercially available by 30 June 2011.

The proposed new large transmission network asset is required to meet the ETC reliability standard for Kadina East and is therefore, by definition, a reliability augmentation. Accordingly, ElectraNet has applied the *reliability arm* of the regulatory test to demonstrate that the proposed augmentation satisfies that test.

Interested parties are invited to make written submissions to ElectraNet on any matter in this Application Notice by 21 August 2009, and may request a meeting.





June 2009

## Please address submissions to:

Simon Appleby,
Senior Manager, NEM Development and Regulation
ElectraNet,
PO Box 7096,
Hutt Street Post Office,
Adelaide, South Australia, 5000
appleby.simon@electranet.com.au

Tel: (08) 8404 7324 Fax: (08) 8297 0162

Following consideration of submissions, ElectraNet expects to publish a Final Report in September 2009.





## 1. Introduction

In its role as South Australia's Transmission Network Service Provider (TNSP), ElectraNet must ensure that its transmission network complies with the operational security requirements of the National Electricity Rules (NER) and the network reliability requirements of the South Australian Electricity Transmission Code (ETC).

ElectraNet monitors its transmission network on an ongoing basis to ensure that the loading on its assets does not exceed the ratings of those assets under both normal and contingency operating conditions. In the event that assets are identified as becoming overloaded under projected loadings and operating conditions, ElectraNet must develop and implement appropriate action to eliminate those limitations.

The July 2008 ETC assigned a higher reliability standard to the Kadina East connection point, which is the principal driver of the proposed new large transmission network asset.

Separately, but closely related to the Code requirement, the load supplied by that substation is forecast to exceed the normal cyclic rating of the single transformer that is presently installed at the substation by the summer of 2010/11.

In order to address the increased equivalent transformer capacity requirement, ElectraNet is proposing to replace the existing transformer at Kadina East substation with two larger units, with ETSA Utilities undertaking upgrade and augmentation works to its 33kV network. The estimated total combined cost for the project is \$25.9m.

# 2. Background

## 2.1 Geographic Area and Existing Supply Network

Kadina East substation is a 132/33kV connection point that is located in the northern reaches of Yorke Peninsula, and supplies the surrounding mainly-rural area, including the towns of Kadina, Wallaroo, Moonta, Paskeville, and Port Hughes. It is supplied radially from Hummocks substation, at the northern tip of the Gulf of St. Vincent, 35 kilometres to the east of Kadina, and comprises a single 25MVA 132/33 kV transformer. The substation contains minimal associated 132kV and 33kV infrastructure, and is not equipped with remote communications or control facilities.

The physical location of the Kadina East connection point and the existing substation assets are shown in Figures 1 and 2 respectively.






Figure 1: Mid-north transmission network






Figure 2: Existing assets - Kadina East substation





## 2.2 Existing Network and Operational Constraints

As mentioned, Kadina East substation is supplied radially from Hummocks substation. Three other 132kV transmission lines also connect into Hummocks substation; the radial line to Ardrossan West, to the south (which extends still further to Dalrymple, and then on to Wattle Point Wind Farm, at the southern tip of Yorke Peninsula), and the Hummocks-Waterloo and Hummocks-(Snowtown Wind Farm)-Bungama 132kV lines that connect into the backbone of the 132kV network. The Hummocks-Kadina East line is rated at 41MV.A, while the remaining three lines are each rated at 88MV.A.

Under most anticipated system-normal operating conditions, all four lines are considered to be adequately rated. However, under high export, high mid-north wind farm generation, or under contingency conditions, it is likely that thermal ratings will be exceeded. Under such circumstances, where applicable, N-1 operational requirements are currently managed with constraints, and this regime is expected to be continued into the foreseeable future

Notwithstanding the above discussion, such network and generation constraints are independent of the Kadina East substation augmentation, and will not be affected by the proposed developments at Kadina East to meet the increased ETC reliability standards.

As the Kadina East connection point is radially fed, and since there is no local generation connected to the substation, no additional constraints are presently imposed on the operation of the substation. This status will not be affected by the proposed augmentation.

Lack of alternative adjoining distribution systems with adequate capacity prevents supplying the total AMD of the Kadina East connection point via those alternative networks during line or transformer outages, using either permanent or rapid automatic distribution load shifting. This status will not be improved by the proposed substation augmentation.

## 2.3 Planned/ Committed Network Developments

The following regulated network development proposals are planned for the midnorth region during the ten-year period commencing 2009/10:

- Reinforcement of the Templers / Dorrien / Roseworthy 132kV network in 2010;
- Establishing Clare North 132/33kV substation in 2010;
- Rebuilding Ardrossan West substation and installing 2x25MV.A 132/33kV transformers and a 132kV switched capacitor bank, in 2011;
- Rebuilding Hummocks substation and installing 2x25MV.A 132/33kV transformers, in 2013;
- Rebuilding Waterloo substation and installing 2x25MV.A 132/33kV transformers, in 2014;





June 2009

- Installing a third 60MV.A 132/33kV transformer at Dorrien substation, in 2014;
- Installing 132kV capacitor banks at Kadina East and Dalrymple substations in 2015:
- Establishing 275/132kV injection at Hummocks substation in 2019;
- Constructing a second 132kV circuit from Hummocks to Kadina East, with timing dependent on ETC requirements; and
- Constructing a new 132kV circuit from Kadina East to Ardrossan West, with timing again dependent on ETC reliability requirements.

Despite the significant extent of the foreshadowed future works in the area, none of the above developments impact on the need to augment equivalent transformer capacity at Kadina East substation within the required timeframe.

#### 2.4 Committed Generation Facilities

Wattle Point wind farm and Snowtown wind farm are presently connected to the mid-north transmission network. North Brown Hill (formerly Hallet Stage 2) and Clements Gap wind farms are expected to be connected to the network in the near future. In addition, several wind farms in the vicinity of Robertstown and Waterloo have also been proposed, but not yet confirmed.

Despite the relatively close proximity of those wind farms to Kadina East substation, all will connect into either the 275kV or 132kV transmission networks, and as such, will not present a means of providing N-1 equivalent transformer capacity for the Kadina East connection point.

## 2.5 Electricity Demand

Ten-year electricity demand forecasts are determined by ETSA Utilities with input from customers at each connection point on ElectraNet's transmission network. Those forecasts take account of demand-side management programmes and embedded generation in the distribution network that are either presently in place or foreseen by ETSA Utilities, each of which may have the effect of reducing the forecast demand to be supplied via a particular transmission connection point. The forecast peak summer demand is based on medium economic growth, hot weather, a 10% probability of exceedance, and excludes transmission losses.

ETSA Utilities has provided three demand forecasts for Kadina East, reflecting low, medium and high load-growth scenarios. ElectraNet has then extrapolated those forecasts to cover the entire 15-year period of the analysis using the corresponding annual growth rates of 3.6%, 4.5%, and 5.4%, respectively (refer Figure 3).



	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25
MW	26.4	27.6	28.9	30.2	31 5	32.9	34.4	36.0	37.6	39.3	41.1	42.9	44.8	46.9	49.0	51.2
pf	0.93	0.93	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.91
MV.A	28.43	29.80	31.25	32.76	34.34	35.99	37.71	39.52	41.41	43.39	45.45	47.62	49.87	52.24	54.71	56.42

Medium demand forecast: (4.5% pa)

	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25
MW	26.2	27 2	28.1	29.1	30 2	31.3	32.4	33.6	34.8	36.0	37.3	38.7	40.1	41.5	43.0	44.6
pf	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.91
MV.A	28.16	29.25	30.39	31.56	32.78	34.05	35.36	36.72	38.13	39.59	41.10	42.67	44.30	45.98	47.73	48.70

Low demand forecast: (3.6% pa)

	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25
MW	29.6	31 2	32.9	34.7	36.6	38.5	40.6	42.8	45.1	47.6	50.1	52.8	55.7	58.7	61.9	65.2
pf	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.90	0.90	0.90
MV.A	32.12	33.98	35.94	38.01	40.20	42.50	44.94	47.50	50.21	53.06	56.07	59.24	62.58	65.21	68.92	72.84

High demand forecast: (5.4% pa)

Figure 3: Kadina East load forecasts





# 3. Service Obligations

As a TNSP operating in the South Australian jurisdiction of the National Electricity Market, ElectraNet is bound by the service obligations of the NER and ETC. Similarly, ETSA Utilities, as a Distribution Network Service Provider (DNSP), is bound by the service obligations of the NER and the Electricity Distribution Code (EDC).

## 3.1 South Australian Electricity Transmission Code

As discussed previously in this report, the principal driver for the proposed large network augmentation at Kadina East substation is the revision of the supply reliability classification for the connection point from Category 1 to Category 2in the July 2008 ETC. That revision has meant that ElectraNet is required to continue to provide N equivalent line capacity, but must now provide N-1 equivalent transformer capacity to meet 100% of the agreed maximum demand (AMD) of the Kadina East connection point.

That level of transformer redundancy is presently not available at the substation, and must therefore be regarded as a network limitation. It is ElectraNet's responsibility to use its best endeavours to achieve the increased supply reliability requirement within 12 months, and in any case, within three years, of the date that the July 2008 ETC came into effect.

In line with this requirement, ElectraNet is exercising best endeavours to meet a planned project completion date of June 2011.

## 3.2 National Electricity Rules

The network planning and development obligations placed on TNSPs via the NER are not driving the need for the new large network augmentation proposed to reinforce the Kadina East connection point.

## 4. Identified Network Limitations

## 4.1 Kadina East Installed Transformer Capacity

Forecast future growth in the load on the substation under medium load growth conditions indicates that the demand at Kadina East substation will exceed the allowable maximum normal cyclic loading of the substation's existing transformer (28.3 MVA) by the summer of 2010/11 (refer Figure 3). As a consequence, and given that there is no opportunity to transfer load to adjoining networks, an increase in equivalent transformer capacity is required at Kadina East substation to meet the growing demand. Available overload capability on the existing transformer will be available to meet peak demand for a limited period as outlined above.





# 5. Options Considered

The network limitation to be solved at Kadina East is twofold. First there is the increase in demand causing an increase in required transformer capacity above 25MV.A and secondly there is the ETC requirement to provide N-1 transformer capacity.

There is no do nothing option.

Should the transformer capacity limitation be considered in isolation, it could be overcome by simply installing a larger transformer. However, the ETC now also requires ElectraNet to provide N-1 equivalent transformer capacity (i.e. transformer redundancy) for the substation.

The options therefore are:

- Non-network options including demand side participation; and
- A range of network options including shifting the load in the distribution network, rebuilding the substation with 2 x 60MV.A transformers and rebuilding the substation with 3 x 25MV.A transformers.

The proposed increase in both equivalent transformer capacity and reliability levels for the connection point has significant impact on the ETSA 33kV network and substation equipment. These impacts require busbar configuration changes and managing the increased fault levels and need to be taken into account in the analysis of options.

## 5.1 Non-network Options

Due to the radial nature of the 132kV supply to Kadina East substation, the only non-network option that could meet the ETC reliability redundancy requirement for Kadina East substation would be to contract the entire connected load to a demand-side or generator proponent.

A non-network option would potentially defer replacement of the existing transformer for a given period of time by reducing expected peak load growth. In the case of local generation, specific ETSA Utilities and NER requirements apply to generators operating in 'islanded mode' for loss of either the Kadina East transmission line or transformer. Generation proponents will need to assess the viability of their proposal in relation to those requirements.

ElectraNet has not been advised, nor is it aware, of any committed or potentially feasible demand-side management arrangements or generation options that would achieve the required N-1 equivalent transformer capacity at Kadina East.





# 5.2 Network Options

#### **5.2.1** Permanent or Rapid Load Transfer

Load transfer to another source of supply by reconfiguring the distribution network open-points following transformer failure is not possible. This is due to the lack of adequate alternative distribution networks in the area, as well as the limited spare transformer capacity at neighbouring Hummocks substation, the only viable alternative source of supply.

## 5.2.2 Rebuilding Kadina East as a 2x60MV.A 132/33kV Substation

This option involves replacing the existing 25MV.A transformer at Kadina East with two 60MV.A units (ElectraNet's next standard transformer size). Associated with the transformer installation will be the installation of 132kV switchgear suitably configured to support the N-1 transformer requirement.

ElectraNet has determined that the proposed works for this option can be co-located within the existing substation boundary, thereby providing the additional advantage that minimal interruption to supply will be required both during construction and when connecting the new assets to the existing 132kV and 33kV networks.

Installing two 60MV.A transformers at Kadina East will provide sufficient N-1 equivalent transformer capacity at the substation well beyond the 2024/25 horizon of the economic analysis period.

#### 5.2.3 Installing Three 25MV.A Transformers

This option involves the installation of a second 25MV.A transformer in parallel with the existing transformer at Kadina East substation. However, given that the emergency cyclic rating of the existing transformer is only 32.3MV.A, failure of the new transformer would result in the existing transformer overloading within two years of the new transformer's installation under that contingency situation (refer Figure 3).

This limitation would then trigger the need to either replace the two transformers with larger units, or install a third 25MV.A transformer. However, from a high-level assessment, this option appears to represent an uneconomical and technically inefficient solution to the provision of N-1 equivalent transformer capacity for Kadina East substation. This is due to the extensive redesign of the substation and additional switchgear that would be required to incorporate a three transformer layout and additional ongoing maintenance effort. In addition, the limit of this solution under the ETC N-1 transformer requirement occurs sooner that the 2 x 60MV.A transformer option.

Therefore, the options analysis presented above reduces to rebuilding Kadina East as a 2x60MV.A 132/33kV Substation. This option has been analysed further by considering two alternate switchyard configurations; meshed and breaker and a half.

## 6. Technical Details





# 6.1 Transformer Capacity

ElectraNet has elected to use 60MV.A transformers as they are ElectraNet's next standard-size transformer used and widely on its existing transmission network and the installation of these transformers is also consistent with ElectraNet's Spares Policy. ElectraNet believes this to be a technically prudent decision.

## 6.2 132kV Switchyard Arrangement

With forecast demand growth and increasing reliability requirements for the region beyond the 15-year planning period, it will be necessary to progressively connect additional 132kV transmission lines and 132kV capacitor banks at Kadina East and neighbouring substations.

ElectraNet's long-term development plans for the transmission network in the region are contained in the Annual Planning Review 2008-2028, and additional to the augmentation that is the subject of this report, include (refer also Figure 4):

- Rebuilding Ardrossan West substation and installing 2x25MV.A 132/33kV transformers and a 132kV switched capacitor bank, in 2011;
- Rebuilding Hummocks substation and installing 2x25MV.A 132/33kV transformers, in 2013;
- Installing 132kV capacitor banks at Kadina East and Dalrymple substations in 2015;
- Establishing 275/132kV injection at Hummocks substation in 2019;
- Constructing a second 132kV circuit from Hummocks to Kadina East, with timing dependent on ETC requirements; and
- Constructing a new 132kV circuit from Kadina East to Ardrossan West, with timing again dependent on ETC reliability requirements.

It was therefore essential that any switchyard design be expandable to accommodate those augmentations in the ultimate configuration, and two technically preferred 132kV switchyard arrangements have consequently been proposed for Kadina East substation, and are presented below.






Figure 4: Future network developments



## 6.2.1 Option 1: Breaker-and-a-Half Arrangement

This option will involve maintaining provision for a fully switched breaker-and-a-half configuration in the ultimate substation layout. The proposed single line diagram for this arrangement is provided in Figure 5, with initial developments shown marked in green, and future developments in red.

The total estimated implementation cost for this option is \$27.2m, of which \$21.3m will be attributable to ElectraNet's portion of the required works, and \$6.0m to ETSA Utilities' (2008 dollars).




Figure 5: Kadina East 132kV breaker-and-a-half arrangement

#### 6.2.2 Option 2: Meshed Configuration

This option will involve maintaining provision for a fully switched meshed configuration. The proposed single line diagram for this arrangement is given in Figure 6, and as with Option 1, the initial developments are shown marked in green, with future developments marked in red.

The total estimated implementation cost for this option is \$25.9m, of which \$19.9m will be attributable to ElectraNet's portion of the required works, and \$6.0m to ETSA Utilities' (2008 dollars).





This option has been chosen as the preferred option for substation design as the least cost option that meets the applicable reliability requirements. This analysis is discussed in detail in Section 11.




Figure 6: Kadina East 132kV fully switched meshed arrangement

## 6.3 ElectraNet Scope of Works Required for 132kV Connection

The scope of works required to implement the proposed new large transmission network asset (two new 132/33kV transformers and associated switchgear in the (ultimate) fully meshed arrangement) at Kadina East substation includes:

- Designing and constructing all civil works and associated supporting services infrastructure;
- Designing, procuring and installing all 132kV primary plant and equipment, including the two 132/33kV 60MV.A transformers, 132kV switchgear, and voltage and current transformers;
- Designing, procuring and installing all ElectraNet owned 33kV primary plant and equipment, including transformer disconnects, circuit breakers, and current transformers;
- Designing, procuring and installing SCADA and secondary systems at Kadina East and remotely at Hummocks substation;





June 2009

- Installing new terminal towers and redirecting the existing Hummocks 132kV line to the western end of the switchyard;
- Decommissioning and removing the existing transformer and redundant primary and secondary systems;
- Installing a new telecommunications tower within the switchyard; and
- Establishing new communications links to Kadina East substation for protection and SCADA.

The total cost of ElectraNet's portion of the work, which is represented schematically in Figure 6, is estimated to be \$19.9m.

## 6.4 ETSA Utilities Scope of Work for 33kV Connection

The scope of works required to fully integrate the new transformer capacity and reliability standard into ETSA Utilities' existing 33kV network includes:

- Installing a new two-section 33kV bus to accommodate the two new ElectraNet transformer connections;
- Installing 33kV bus section breakers and 33kV feeder circuit breakers to connect the 33kV feeders to the new bus;
- Installing 33kV bus voltage transformers;
- Installing auxiliary transformers;
- Establishing a new control building;
- Upgrading the existing 33kV earth grid to accommodate the increased fault levels;
- Extending existing fencing to encompass the switchyard expansion;
- Installing telecommunications for SCADA and protection;
- Installing SCADA for all assets; and
- Constructing a new 33kV line from Kadina East substation to the township of Kadina to fully utilise the additional transformer capacity.

The total cost of ETSA Utilities' portion of the work, which is represented schematically in Figure 7, is estimated to be \$6.0m.






Figure 7: 33kV switchgear upgrade and connection to the existing 33kV distribution network

# 6.5 Construction Timetable and Commissioning Date

The target construction and commissioning programme for the Kadina East transformer augmentation project is as follows:

- Issue Request for Tenders, assess tenders, award contract by September 2009;
- Place order for transformers and associated plant September 2009;
- Delivery of plant by November 2010;
- Delivery of transformers by February 2011; and

Assets commissioned and in service by July 2011

## 6.6 Compliance with Service Obligations

As discussed in section 4.2 of this report, ElectraNet is now required to provide N-1 equivalent transformer capacity to meet 100% of the Kadina East connection point AMD, rather than the previous N requirement. Compliance with the requirements for transformer reliability is demonstrated through the fact that should one of the two 60 MV.A transformers fail, there will be no interruption of supply to any customers, and no load shedding will be required.





# 7. Intra-Network Impact

The main impact that the new substation will have on the existing network in the area will be increased 33kV fault levels at the substation, due principally to the lower impedance presented by two transformers in parallel.

To accommodate the increased fault levels and to take advantage of the increased transformer reliability at the connection point, ETSA Utilities will undertake a major rebuild of its 33kV switchyard, as described in section 6.4 of this report, and construct a new 33kV line from Kadina East substation to the township of Kadina, thereby improving the reliability of supply to the main load centre.

The overall impact of the proposed augmentation will be improved supply reliability and improved voltages on the 33kV network supplying the upper Yorke Peninsula.

# 8. Inter-Network Impact

Increasing the N-1 transformer capacity at the Kadina East connection point will have no material inter-network impact on transfer capability between adjoining transmission networks, where 'material inter-network impact' and 'network' are defined as:

Material inter-network impact...

- A material impact on another Transmission Network Service Provider's network, which impact may include (without limitation):
  - (i) the imposition of power transfer constraints within another Transmission Network Service Provider's network: or
  - (ii) an adverse impact on the quality of supply in another Transmission Network Service Provider's network; and

Network...

 In relation to a Network Service Provider, a network owned, operated or controlled by that Network Service Provider;

within Chapter 10 of the National Electricity Rules (version 28).

## 9. Scenarios Considered

## 9.1 Context for Evaluation of Options

In accordance with NER requirements, all feasible options to the identified supply requirements must be viewed in the context of wider developments in the NEM. ElectraNet is not aware of any inter-state or intra-state transmission network augmentations that would impact on the proposed reliability augmentation to meet the increased ETC reliability standard for the Kadina East connection point.



## 9.2 Assumed Market Development Scenarios

The Regulatory Test for reliability augmentations requires that options to address network requirements be assessed against a number of reasonable scenarios, and that those scenarios must consider:

- The existing network;
- Future network developments;
- Committed generation and demand side developments;
- Potential generation and demand side developments; and
- Variations in load growth.

The intended purpose of that approach is to test the present value costs of the options being evaluated under a range of plausible scenarios to ensure that the ranking of the options remains unaffected.

## 9.2.1 Existing Network and Future Transmission Developments

Existing and future network developments have been discussed previously in this report, with the conclusion that any such developments would be common to all options considered given the remote, radial location of the load centre, thereby not affecting their relative ranking when subjected to the Regulatory Test.

#### 9.2.2 Committed and Potential Generation and Demand Side Developments

The net effects on the two options under assessment of potential generation and demand side development scenarios are the same and do not affect their present value ranking.

As mentioned previously in section 4.1, neither ElectraNet nor ETSA Utilities are aware of any generation or demand-side proposals that would provide the increased reliability level required for the Kadina East connection point under the ETC.

#### 9.2.3 Variations in Load Growth

The forecast demand growth used in this assessment is based on medium economic conditions and hot weather forecasts (10% Probability of Exceedance, or PoE) for electricity usage<sup>1</sup>. As discussed, neither ElectraNet nor ETSA Utilities are aware of any demand-side or generation proposals that will effectively reduce the load on the connection point, and consequently, it has not been considered necessary to incorporate the impact of such initiatives on that forecast.

For the purposes of the Kadina East augmentation studies, scenarios assuming both high (5.4%) and low (3.6%) demand growth were also studied to ensure the robustness of the findings of this report, which are based on medium load growth

Use of 10% PoE weather forecasts is consistent with Australian TNSP practice when planning the backbone 275kV and 132kV networks.





June 2009

(4.5% per annum). However, given the significant increase in capacity from the existing Kadina East transformer to the capacity of the proposed transformers, which are the next-largest standard size of transformer used by ElectraNet, the choice of load growth rate does not influence the choice of future augmentations for many years to come, and therefore has no impact on the findings of this report.

Equally, the load growth forecasts over the 15 year timeframe do not even approach the level of the next standard transformer size (160MV.A) on even the most aggressive load growth assumptions, so there is no case to consider larger transformer options.

# 10. Format of and Inputs to Analysis

## 10.1 Regulatory Test Requirements

The requirement for the comparison of options to address future supply needs is contained in the Regulatory Test<sup>2</sup>. The Regulatory Test requires that, for reliability augmentations<sup>3</sup>, the recommended option be the option that "minimises the present value of costs, compared with a number of alternative options in a majority of reasonable scenarios".

The Regulatory Test contains guidelines for the methodology to be used to identify the lowest cost option. Information to be considered includes construction, operating and maintenance costs, and the cost of complying with existing and anticipated laws and regulations. However, the Regulatory Test specifically excludes indirect costs and costs that cannot be measured as a cost in terms of financial transactions in the electricity market.

## 10.2 Inputs to Analysis

A solution to address future supply requirements for the Kadina East connection point as outlined in this document is required to satisfy reliability requirements linked to Schedule 5.1 of the NER and the requirements of the South Australian ETC.

According to the Regulatory Test, this means that the present-value costs of all options must be compared, and the least cost solution is considered to satisfy the Regulatory Test. The results of that evaluation would normally be carried out using a discounted cash flow model to determine the present value cost of the two options, where a pre-tax real Weighted Average Cost of Capital (WACC) of 8.5% would have been assumed as the discount rate, and which would equate to a nominal WACC of 11.35%. In this instance, all future augmentations of the network will be common to both options, and can therefore be omitted from the financial analysis since their cost impact will be identical for both and have no bearing on the ranking.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> ElectraNet is required to evaluate solutions for new transmission developments under the Regulatory Test in accordance with clause 5.6 of the NER.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Refer section 5 of this report.





## 10.3 Cost of Network Augmentations

To properly apply the Regulatory Test, the economic analysis and comparison of the options must consider all foreseeable cost impacts of the proposed network augmentations to market participants. However, in this instance, no future augmentations have been identified that are particular to one or other only of the two options identified.

The capital cost<sup>4</sup> to implement each of the two options identified in section 5 of this report has been estimated by ElectraNet and ETSA Utilities based on a conceptual scope of works. Sensitivity studies that would normally be carried out to examine the effect of variations in capital cost estimates have not been necessary since the only costs that feature in the financial analysis are the initial establishment cost for either option, variations on which would have similar effect on both and therefore not affect ranking.

# 11. Economic Analysis

## 11.1 Inputs to Analysis

ElectraNet has elected to use a fifteen year period over which to undertake the economic analysis. That analysis takes into account the total capital cost of implementing each of the two options, and would normally also take into account the costs of any progressive augmentations that would be required during the fifteen year period from the 2009/10 commissioning date. However, as discussed, future augmentations are common to both options, and can therefore be omitted from the financial comparison. All cost estimates that have been used are current as at 2008.

## 11.2 Application of the Regulatory Test

In accordance with the requirements of the Rules, ElectraNet has used the "Regulatory Test for reliability augmentations" to identify the recommended option, having demonstrated that the proposed augmentation is a reliability augmentation (refer section 4). That test compares the present value of each of the two options remaining in contention over a suitable period of time and under a range of feasible scenarios. It is then the ranking of those options, rather than their actual present value, that is significant since the Regulatory Test requires that the recommended option have the lowest present value cost to market participants when considered under a range of assumed scenarios.

With consideration for the above, application of the Regulatory Test will then be simply reduced to a comparison of the 2010/11 establishment costs of the two options, with the least-cost option in present value terms satisfying that test. Accordingly, since the estimated total present value implementation costs for stage 1 of Options 1 and 2 are \$27.0m and \$24.4m respectively, Option 2; rebuilding Kadina East substation as a 2x60MV.A 132/33kV substation with a meshed 132kV switchyard configuration; is the option that satisfies the Regulatory Test for reliability

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> In 2008 dollars



augmentations, and is recommended. The results of the Regulatory Test are summarised in the following table:

	Option 1 Breaker and a half configuration	Option 2  Meshed configuration
Establishment Cost	\$28.8m	\$25.9m
Net Present Cost Over 15 years	\$27.0m	\$24.4m
RANK	2	1

Figure 7: Summary of Regulatory Test financial considerations

#### 12. Draft Recommendation

Based on the information provided in this paper, including system study results and financial analysis, ElectraNet and ETSA Utilities recommend the installation of 2x60MV.A 132/33kV transformers in mesh configuration, together with the associated 33kv changes required to achieve the revised reliability requirements imposed by the ETC for Kadina East substation. The mesh configuration leaves provision for future developments at Kadina East substation.

The estimated implementation cost for this option is \$25.9m, of which \$6m is attributable to ETSA Utilities' portion of the project, and \$19.9m to ElectraNet's. This project is required to be commissioned and commercially available by no later than 30 June 2011.

## 13. Consultation

This report "Proposed New Large Network Asset – Kadina East Transformer Capacity" is issued in accordance with the requirements of the NER and ESCOSA Guideline 12.

The options that have been considered in this report have been subjected to the Regulatory Test for reliability augmentations promulgated by the AER under the NER

In accordance with NER requirements, Registered Participants and interested parties on this Application Notice.

Submissions are due by the close of business on Friday 21 August 2009.





June 2009

Please address submissions to:

Simon Appleby,
Senior Manager, NEM Development and Regulation
ElectraNet,
PO Box 7096,
Hutt Street Post Office,
Adelaide, South Australia, 5000
appleby.simon@electranet.com.au

Tel: (08) 8404 7324 Fax: (08) 8297 0162

Following consideration of submissions, ElectraNet expects to publish a Final Report in September 2009.





# 14. Appendix A Financial Analysis





Saamania A		11 1	al Ouassad													
Scenario A	0	LOW IOA	d Growth	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
	11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	26/27
Option 1 Kadina 2x60 breaker and a haif	20.19048	12/13	13/14	er and a hal	<u>f</u> 15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	26/27
* WDV  Depreciation over  Opex  WACC  > TUCS	20.19048 21. 45 0.015 0.085	2 21.200 0.4711 0.3180 1.802 0 2.591	20.729 0.4711 0.3180 1.762 2.551	0.4711 0.3180 1.722 2.511	19.787 0.4711 0.3180 1.682 2.471	19.316 0.4711 0.3180 1.642 2.431	18.844 0.4711 0.3180 1.602 2.391	18.373 0.4711 0.3180 1.562 2.351	17.902 0.4711 0.3180 1.522 2.311	17.431 0.4711 0.3180 1.482 2.271	16.960 0.4711 0.3180 1.442 2.231	16.489 0.4711 0.3180 1.402 2.191	16.018 0.4711 0.3180 1.362 2.151	15.547 0.4711 0.3180 1.321 2.111	15.076 0.4711 0.3180 1.281 2.071	14.604 0.4711 0.3180 1.241 2.030
==> NPV of TUOS  Kadina East swap 25s to 60s	3.809524															
* WDV * Depreciation over * Opex * WACC => TUOS ==> NPV of TUOS	3.809524 45 0.015 0.085	12/13 0 0.000 0.0000 0.0000 0.000 0 0.000	13/14 0.000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.000	14/15 0.000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.000	15/16 0.000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.000	16/17 0.000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.000	17/18 0.000 0.0000 0.0000 0.000 0.000	18/19 0.000 0.0000 0.0000 0.000 0.000	19/20 0.000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.000	20/21 0.000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.000	21/22 0.000 0.0000 0.0000 0.000 0.000	22/23 0.000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.000	23/24 0.000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.000	24/25 0.000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.000	25/26 0.000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.000	26/27 0.000 0.0000 0.0000 0.000 0.000
ENet Kadina East telecom bearers	1.488571	12/13	I 13/14	l 14/15	15/16	I 16/17	l 17/18 l	18/19	l 19/20	l 20/21 l	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	I 25/26	l 26/27
* WDV * Depreciation over * Opex *WACC => TUOS ==> NPV of TUOS	1.488571 1.56 45 0.015 0.085 \$1.45		1.528 0.0347 0.0234 0.130 0.188	1.4935333 0.0347 0.0234 0.127 0.185	1.4588 0.0347 0.0234 0.124 0.182	1.4240667 0.0347 0.0234 0.121 0.179	1.3893333 0.0347 0.0234 0.118 0.176	1.3546 0.0347 0.0234 0.115 0.173	1.3198667 0.0347 0.0234 0.112 0.170	1.2851333 0.0347 0.0234 0.109 0.167	1.2504 0.0347 0.0234 0.106 0.164	1.2156667 0.0347 0.0234 0.103 0.162	1.1809333 0.0347 0.0234 0.100 0.159	1.1462 0.0347 0.0234 0.097 0.156	1.1114667 0.0347 0.0234 0.094 0.153	1.0767333 0.0347 0.0234 0.092 0.150
ETSA Utilites cost	5.714286 11/12	12/13	I 13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17	l 17/18 l	18/19	19/20	l 20/21 l	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	I 26/27
* WDV * Depreciation over * Opex * WACC => TUOS ==> NPV of TUOS	5.714286 45 0.015 0.085 \$5.57	6 6.000 0.1333 0.0900 0.510 0 0.733	5.867 0.1333 0.0900 0.499 0.722	5.7333333 0.1333 0.0900 0.487 0.711	5.6 0.1333 0.0900 0.476 0.699	5.4666667 0.1333 0.0900 0.465 0.688	5.3333333 0.1333 0.0900 0.453 0.677	5.2 0.1333 0.0900 0.442 0.665	5.0666667 0.1333 0.0900 0.431 0.654	4.9333333 0.1333 0.0900 0.419 0.643	4.8 0.1333 0.0900 0.408 0.631	4.6666667 0.1333 0.0900 0.397 0.620	4.5333333 0.1333 0.0900 0.385 0.609	4.4 0.1333 0.0900 0.374 0.597	4.2666667 0.1333 0.0900 0.363 0.586	4.1333333 0.1333 0.0900 0.351 0.575
Total TUOS per year		3.515	3.461	3.407	3.352	3.298	3.244	3.189	3.135	3.081	3.027	2.972	2.918	2.864	2.809	2.755
NPV of total TUOS	\$26.71															
Cost of Losses	11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	26/27
Losses after implementation (MW) Cost of Losses \$ => NPV of Cost of Losses	\$0.27	0 0.29 0 0.022	0.31 0.023	0.33 0.025	0.35 0.026	0.37 0.028	0.40 0.030	0.42 0.032	0.45 0.034	0.48 0.036	0.51 0.039	0.54 0.041	0.58 0.044	0.62 0.047	0.66 0.050	0.70 0.053
Reliability Costs	11/12	12/13 0 0.000	13/14 0.000	14/15 0.000	<b>15/16</b> 0.000	16/17 0.000	17/18 0.000	18/19 0.000	19/20 0.000	<b>20/21</b> 0.000	21/22 0.000	22/23 0.000	<b>23/24</b> 0.000	<b>24/25</b> 0.000	<b>25/26</b> 0.000	<b>26/27</b> 0.000
=> NPV of Reliability Costs	\$0.00															
Total for Option 1	\$26.97															





Scenario B		Medium	load C	outh												
Scenario B	0	wiedium	10au Gr	3 3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
	11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	26/27
Option 1		Kadina 2x	(60 breake	er and a hal	<u>f</u>											
Kadina 2x60 breaker and a half	20.19048 11/12	40/42	1 40/44	14/15	45/46	16/17	l 47/40 l	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	26/27
* WDV	20.19048 21.	12/13 2 21.200	13/14 20.729	20.258	15/16 19.787	19.316	17/18 18.844	18.373	17.902	17.431	16.960	16.489	16.018	15.547	15.076	14.604
* Depreciation over	45	0.4711	0.4711	0.4711	0.4711	0.4711	0.4711	0.4711	0.4711	0.4711	0.4711	0.4711	0.4711	0.4711	0.4711	0.4711
* Opex	0.015	0.3180	0.3180	0.3180	0.3180	0.3180	0.3180	0.3180	0.3180	0.3180	0.3180	0.3180	0.3180	0.3180	0.3180	0.3180
* WACC => TUOS	0.085	1.802 2.591	1.762 2.551	1.722 2.511	1.682 2.471	1.642 2.431	1.602 2.391	1.562 2.351	1.522 2.311	1.482 2.271	1.442 2.231	1.402 2.191	1.362 2.151	1.321 2.111	1.281 2.071	1.241 2.030
==> NPV of TUOS	\$19.68	2.551	2.551	2.511	2.471	2.431	2.551	2.331	2.311	2.211	2.231	2.191	2.101	2.111	2.071	2.030
		21.200	0.495													
Kadina East swap 25s to 60s	3.809524	40/40	1 40/44	1 44/45	45/40	1 40/47	l 47/40 l	40/40	10/00	1 00/04	1 04/00	1 00/00	1 00/04	1 04/05	1 05/00	I 00/07
* WDV	11/12 3.809524	12/13	13/14 0.000	14/15 0.000	15/16 0.000	16/17 0.000	17/18 0.000	18/19 0.000	19/20 0.000	20/21 0.000	21/22 0.000	22/23 0.000	23/24 0.000	24/25 0.000	25/26 0.000	26/27 0.000
* Depreciation over	45	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
* Opex	0.015	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
* WACC => TUOS	0.085	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
==> NPV of TUOS	\$0.00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
	,															
ENet Kadina East telecom bearers	1.488571 11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	26/27
* WDV	1.488571 1.56		1.528	1.4935333	1.4588	1.4240667	1.3893333	1.3546	1.3198667	1.2851333	1.2504	1.2156667	1.1809333	1.1462	1.1114667	1.0767333
* Depreciation over	45	0.0347	0.0347	0.0347	0.0347	0.0347	0.0347	0.0347	0.0347	0.0347	0.0347	0.0347	0.0347	0.0347	0.0347	0.0347
* Opex * WACC	0.015 0.085	0.0234	0.0234	0.0234	0.0234	0.0234	0.0234	0.0234	0.0234	0.0234	0.0234	0.0234	0.0234	0.0234	0.0234	0.0234
=> TUOS	0.065	0.133 0.191	0.130 0.188	0.127 0.185	0.124 0.182	0.121 0.179	0.118   0.176	0.115 0.173	0.112 0.170	0.109 0.167	0.106 0.164	0.103 0.162	0.100 0.159	0.097 0.156	0.094 0.153	0.092 0.150
==> NPV of TUOS	\$1.45	0	000	000	552		0	0		5		552	555	555	000	000
ETCA Hillitan annt	5.714286															
ETSA Utilites cost	5./14286	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26
* WDV	5.714286	6.000	5.867	5.7333333	5.6	5.4666667	5.3333333	5.2	5.0666667	4.9333333	4.8	4.6666667	4.5333333	4.4	4.2666667	4.1333333
* Depreciation over	45	0.1333	0.1333	0.1333	0.1333	0.1333	0.1333	0.1333	0.1333	0.1333	0.1333	0.1333	0.1333	0.1333	0.1333	0.1333
* Opex * WACC	0.015 0.085	0.0900 0.510	0.0900 0.499	0.0900 0.487	0.0900 0.476	0.0900 0.465	0.0900 0.453	0.0900 0.442	0.0900 0.431	0.0900 0.419	0.0900 0.408	0.0900 0.397	0.0900 0.385	0.0900 0.374	0.0900 0.363	0.0900 0.351
=> TUOS	0.000	0.733	0.722	0.711	0.699	0.688	0.677	0.665	0.654	0.643	0.631	0.620	0.609	0.597	0.586	0.575
==> NPV of TUOS	\$5.57															
Total TUOS per year		3.515	3.461	3.407	3.352	3.298	3.244	3.189	3.135	3.081	3.027	2.972	2.918	2.864	2.809	2.755
NPV of total TUOS	\$26.71															
Cost of Losses																
	11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	26/27
Losses after implementation (MW) Cost of Losses \$		0.31 0.023	0.33 0.025	0.36 0.027	0.39 0.029	0.42 0.032	0.46 0.034	0.49 0.037	0.53 0.040	0.58 0.044	0.62 0.047	0.67 0.051	0.73 0.055	0.79 0.060	0.85 0.064	0.92 0.070
=> NPV of Cost of Losses	\$0.31	0.020	0.020	0.021	0.023	0.002	0.007	0.007	0.040	0.077	0.047	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.007	0.070
Reliability Costs	11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	26/27
	11/12	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
=> NPV of Reliability Costs	\$0.00															
Total for Option 1	\$27.02															
•																





Scenario C		lHiah	load Grov	vth												
		0 1 1/12 12/	2	3	<i>4</i> 15/16	5 16/17	6 17/18	7 18/19	8 19/20	9 20/21	10 <b>21/22</b>	11 <b>22/23</b>	12 <b>23/24</b>	13 <b>24/25</b>	14 25/26	15 <b>26/27</b>
Option 1				ker and a ha		10/17	17/10	10/19	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/23	23/20	20/21
Kadina 2x60 breaker and a half	20.19048				_											
* WDV	11/ 20.19048	12 12/1 21.2 21.2			15/16 19.787	16/17 19.316	17/18 18.844	18/19 18.373	19/20 17.902	20/21 17.431	21/22 16.960	22/23 16.489	23/24 16.018	24/25 15.547	25/26 15.076	26/27 14.604
* Depreciation over	45	0.47			0.4711	0.4711	0.4711	0.4711	0.4711	0.4711	0.4711	0.4711	0.4711	0.4711	0.4711	0.4711
* Opex	0.015	0.31			0.3180	0.3180	0.3180	0.3180	0.3180	0.3180	0.3180	0.3180	0.3180	0.3180	0.3180	0.3180
* WACC => TUOS	0.085	1.80 0 2.59		1.722 2.511	1.682 2.471	1.642 2.431	1.602 2.391	1.562 2.351	1.522 2.311	1.482 2.271	1.442 2.231	1.402 2.191	1.362 2.151	1.321 2.111	1.281 2.071	1.241 2.030
==> NPV of TUOS	\$19.68															
Kadina East swap 25s to 60s	3.809524															
* WDV	11/ 3.809524	12 12/·		14/15 0.000	15/16 0.000	16/17 0.000	17/18 0.000	18/19 0.000	19/20 0.000	20/21 0.000	21/22 0.000	22/23 0.000	23/24 0.000	24/25 0.000	25/26	26/27 0.000
* Depreciation over	45	0.00			0.0000	0.000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
* Opex	0.015	0.00			0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
* WACC => TUOS	0.085	0.00			0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
==> NPV of TUOS	\$0.00	0.00	3.555	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	3.555	0.000	0.000	0.000
ENet Kadina East telecom bearers	1.488571 11/	12 12/	13 <b>I</b> 13/14	l 14/15	l 15/16	l 16/17	l 17/18	18/19	l 19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	l 26/27
* WDV		.563 1.56			1.4588	1.4240667	1.3893333	1.3546	1.3198667	1.2851333	1.2504	1.2156667	1.1809333	1.1462	1.1114667	1.0767333
* Depreciation over	45	0.03			0.0347	0.0347	0.0347	0.0347	0.0347	0.0347	0.0347	0.0347	0.0347	0.0347	0.0347	0.0347
* Opex * WACC	0.015 0.085	0.02 0.13			0.0234 0.124	0.0234 0.121	0.0234 0.118	0.0234 0.115	0.0234 0.112	0.0234 0.109	0.0234 0.106	0.0234 0.103	0.0234 0.100	0.0234 0.097	0.0234 0.094	0.0234 0.092
=> TUOS	0.000	0 0.19			0.182	0.179	0.176	0.173	0.170	0.167	0.164	0.162	0.159	0.156	0.153	0.150
==> NPV of TUOS	\$1.45															
ETSA Utilites cost	5.714286	10 100	42 L 42/44	Laane	1 4546	1 4047	I 47/40	1 40/40	I 40/00	I 00/04	04/00	I 00/02	1 00/04	I 04/05	I 05/00	I 00/07
* WDV	11/ 5.714286	12 12/°		14/15 5.7333333	15/16 5.6	16/17 5.4666667	17/18 5.3333333	18/19 5.2	19/20 5.0666667	20/21 4.9333333	21/22 4.8	22/23 4.6666667	23/24 4.5333333	24/25 4.4	25/26 4.2666667	26/27 4.1333333
* Depreciation over	45	0.13	33 0.133	0.1333	0.1333	0.1333	0.1333	0.1333	0.1333	0.1333	0.1333	0.1333	0.1333	0.1333	0.1333	0.1333
* Opex * WACC	0.015 0.085	0.09 0.5			0.0900 0.476	0.0900 0.465	0.0900 0.453	0.0900 0.442	0.0900 0.431	0.0900 0.419	0.0900 0.408	0.0900 0.397	0.0900 0.385	0.0900 0.374	0.0900 0.363	0.0900 0.351
=> TUOS	0.003	0 0.73			0.699	0.463	0.433	0.665	0.654	0.643	0.631	0.620	0.609	0.597	0.586	0.575
==> NPV of TUOS	\$5.57															
Total TUOS per year		3.5	15 3.461	3.407	3.352	3.298	3.244	3.189	3.135	3.081	3.027	2.972	2.918	2.864	2.809	2.755
NPV of total TUOS	\$26.71															
Cost of Losses			40	444-	45.45	4045	47440	40/40	10/05	00/04	0.4.10.5	00/05	20/04	0.1/05	05/05	20/27
Losses after implementation (MW)	11/	12 12/ <sup>-</sup> 0 0.3		14/15 0.39	15/16 0.43	16/17 0.48	17/18 0.52	18/19 0.57	19/20 0.63	20/21 0.69	21/22 0.76	22/23 0.83	23/24 0.92	24/25 1.01	25/26 1.11	26/27 1.21
Cost of Losses \$		0 0.02		0.030	0.033	0.036	0.040	0.043	0.048	0.052	0.058	0.063	0.069	0.076	0.084	0.092
=> NPV of Cost of Losses	\$0.37															
Reliability Costs																
	11/	12 12/· 0 0.00			15/16 0.000	16/17 0.000	17/18 0.000	18/19 0.000	19/20 0.000	20/21 0.000	21/22 0.000	22/23 0.000	23/24 0.000	24/25 0.000	25/26 0.000	26/27
=> NPV of Reliability Costs	\$0.00	0 0.00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Total for Option 1	\$27.08															





Scenario A		Low los	d Grow	th												
Scenario A	0	LOW IOA	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
	11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	<b>26/27</b>
Option 2		Kadina 2	x60 mesh	ned bus												
Kadina 2x60 meshed bus	17.3619										•		•			
* 14/01/	11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	26/27
* WDV * Depreciation over	17.3619 18 23 45	18.230 0.4051	17 825 0.4051	17.420 0.4051	17.015 0.4051	16.610 0.4051	16.204 0.4051	15.799 0.4051	15 394 0.4051	14 989 0.4051	14.584 0.4051	14.179 0.4051	13.774 0.4051	13.369 0.4051	12 964 0.4051	12.558 0.4051
* Opex	0 015	0.4031	0.4031	0.4031	0.4031	0.4031	0.4031	0.4031	0.4031	0.4031	0.4031	0.4031	0.4031	0.4031	0.4031	0.4031
* WACC	0.085	1 550	1.515	1.481	1.446	1.412	1.377	1 343	1.309	1.274	1.240	1.205	1.171	1.136	1.102	1.067
=> TUOS	0	2 228	2.194	2.159	2.125	2.090	2 056	2 022	1.987	1.953	1.918	1.884	1 849	1 815	1.780	1.746
==> NPV of TUOS	\$16.93															
ENet Kadina East telecom bearers	1.617143															
	11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	26/27
* WDV	1.617143 1.698	1 698	1.660	1.6225333	1.5848	1.5470667	1.5093333	1.4716	1.4338667	1.3961333	1.3584	1.3206667	1.2829333	1.2452	1 2074667	1.1697333
* Depreciation over	45 0 015	0.0377	0.0377	0.0377	0.0377	0 0377	0.0377	0.0377	0.0377	0.0377	0.0377	0 0377	0.0377	0.0377	0.0377	0.0377
* Opex * WACC	0.085	0.0255 0.144	0.0255 0.141	0.0255 0.138	0.0255 0.135	0 0255 0.132	0 0255 0.128	0.0255 0.125	0.0255 0.122	0.0255 0.119	0.0255 0.115	0 0255 0.112	0 0255 0.109	0.0255 0.106	0.0255 0.103	0.0255 0.099
=> TUOS	0.000	0.144	0.204	0.201	0.198	0.195	0.120	0.123	0.122	0.113	0.179	0.175	0.172	0.169	0.166	0.163
==> NPV of TUOS	\$1.58															
ETSA Utilites cost	5.714286															
* WDV	11/12 5.714286 6	12/13	13/14 5.867	14/15	15/16 5 6	16/17	17/18	18/19 5.2	19/20	20/21 4.9333333	21/22 4 8	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26 4 2666667	26/27
* Depreciation over	5.714286 6 45	6 000 0.1333	0.1333	5.7333333 0.1333	0.1333	5.4666667 0.1333	5.3333333 0.1333	5.2 0.1333	5.0666667 0.1333	0.1333	0.1333	4.6666667 0.1333	4.5333333 0.1333	4.4 0.1333	0.1333	4.1333333 0.1333
* Opex	0.015	0.0900	0.0900	0.0900	0.0900	0.1000	0.1000	0.0900	0.0900	0.0900	0.0900	0.1000	0.1000	0.0900	0.0900	0.0900
* WACC	0.085	0 510	0.499	0.487	0.476	0.465	0.453	0.442	0.431	0.419	0.408	0.397	0.385	0 374	0.363	0.351
=> TUOS	0	0.733	0.722	0.711	0.699	0.688	0.677	0 665	0.654	0.643	0.631	0.620	0.609	0 597	0.586	0.575
==> NPV of TUOS	\$5.57															
Total TUOS per year		3.169	3.120	3.071	3.022	2.973	2.924	2 875	2.826	2.777	2.728	2.679	2 630	2 581	2.532	2.483
NPV of total TUOS	\$24.07															
Cost of Losses	4440	10/10			.=/		.=/.0	10/10	10/00	00/04	0.1/0.0	00/00	00/04	0.1/0.5	07/00	00/07
Losses after implementation (MW)	11/12	12/13 0.29	13/14 0 31	14/15 0 33	15/16 0.35	16/17 0.37	17/18 0.40	18/19 0.42	19/20 0.45	20/21 0.48	21/22 0.51	22/23 0.54	23/24 0.58	24/25 0.62	25/26 0 66	26/27 0.70
Cost of Losses \$	0	0.23	0.023	0.025	0.026	0.028	0.030	0.42	0.034	0.036	0.039	0.041	0.00	0.02	0.050	0.053
=> NPV of Cost of Losses	\$0.27															
Reliability Costs																
	11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	26/27
=> NPV of Reliability Costs	\$0.00	0 000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0 000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0 000	0 000	0.000	0.000
Total for Option 2	\$24.34															





Scenario B			Medii	ım load G	rowth												
		0		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
		11/		13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	26/27
Option 2			Kadina	2x60 mes	hed bus												
Kadina 2x60 meshed bus		17.3619									ē.		_				
		11/			14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	26/27
* WDV		17.3619 18			17.420	17.015	16.610	16.204	15.799	15 394	14.989	14.584	14.179	13.774	13 369	12.964	12.558
* Depreciation over * Opex		45 0 015	0.405 0.273	0.405 0.273	0.405 0.273	0.405 0.273	0.405 0.273	0.405 0.273	0.405 0.273	0.405 0.273	0.405 0.273	0.405 0.273	0.405 0.273	0.405 0.273	0.405 0.273	0.405 0.273	0.405 0.273
* WACC		0 015	1 550	1.515	1.481	1.446	1.412	1 377	1.343	1.309	1.274	1.240	1 205	1.171	1.136	1.102	1.067
=> TUOS		0 000	0 2 228	2.194	2.159	2.125	2.090	2 056	2.022	1.987	1.953	1.918	1 884	1 849	1.815	1.780	1.746
==> NPV of TUOS	\$16.93			,	1	,	,	,								1	
ENet Kadina East telecom bearers		1 617													1		l
* WDV		1 617 1.6		13/14 1.660	14/15 1.623	15/16 1.585	16/17 1.547	17/18 1 509	18/19 1.472	19/20 1.434	20/21 1.396	21/22 1.358	22/23 1 321	23/24 1 283	24/25 1.245	25/26 1.207	26/27 1.170
* Depreciation over		45	0 038	0.038	0.038	0.038	0.038	0 038	0.038	0.038	0.038	0.038	0 038	0.038	0.038	0.038	0.038
* Opex		0 015	0 025	0.025	0.025	0.025	0.025	0 025	0.025	0.025	0.025	0.025	0 025	0 025	0.025	0.025	0.025
* WACC		0 085	0.144	0.141	0.138	0.135	0.132	0.128	0.125	0.122	0.119	0.115	0.112	0.109	0.106	0.103	0.099
=> TUOS			0 0 208	0.204	0.201	0.198	0.195	0.191	0.188	0.185	0.182	0.179	0.175	0.172	0.169	0.166	0.163
==> NPV of TUOS	\$1.58																
ETSA Utilites cost		5.714		1	1	1	1	1									
* WDV		5.714	12 12/13 6 6 000	13/14 5.867	14/15 5.733	15/16 5.600	16/17 5.467	17/18 5 333	18/19 5.200	19/20 5.067	19/20 4.933	20/21 4.800	21/22 4 667	22/23 4 533	23/24 4.400	24/25 4.267	25/26 4.133
* Depreciation over		45	0.133	0.133	0.133	0.133	0.133	0.133	0.133	0.133	0.133	0.133	0.133	0.133	0.133	0.133	0.133
* Opex		0 015	0.100	0.090	0.090	0.090	0.090	0.100	0.090	0.090	0.090	0.090	0.100	0.100	0.090	0.090	0.090
* WACC		0 085	0 510	0.499	0.487	0.476	0.465	0.453	0.442	0.431	0.419	0.408	0 397	0.385	0.374	0.363	0.351
=> TUOS			0 0.733	0.722	0.711	0.699	0.688	0 677	0.665	0.654	0.643	0.631	0 620	0 609	0.597	0.586	0.575
==> NPV of TUOS	\$5.57																
Total TUOS per year			3.169	3.120	3.071	3.022	2.973	2 924	2.875	2.826	2.777	2.728	2 679	2 630	2.581	2.532	2.483
NPV of total TUOS	\$24.07																
Cost of Losses											,						
		11/			14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	26/27
Losses after implementation (MW) Cost of Losses \$			0 0.31 0 0.023	0 33 0.025	0.36 0.027	0.39 0.029	0.42	0.46 0.034	0.49 0.037	0.040	0.58 0.044	0.62 0.047	0.67 0.051	0.73 0.055	0.79 0.060	0.85 0.064	0.92 0.070
=> NPV of Cost of Losses	\$0.31		0.023	0.025	0.027	0.029	0.032	0 034	0.037	0.040	0.044	0.047	0 051	0.055	1 0.060	0.064	0.070
Reliability Costs																	
		11/		_	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	26/27
=> NPV of Reliability Costs	\$0.00		0 0 000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0 000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0 000	0 000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Total for Option 2	\$24.39																





Scenario C		High loa	ed Grow	th												
	0	111911100	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
	11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	26/27
Option 2		Kadina 2	x60 mesh	ed bus												
Kadina 2x60 meshed bus	17.3619									_				_		
	11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	26/27
* WDV * Depresiation over	17.3619 18.23 45	18 230	17.825	17.420	17.015	16 610	16.204	15.799	15.394	14 989	14.584	14.179	13.774	13 369	12.964	12.558
* Depreciation over * Opex	0.015	0.4051 0.2734	0.4051 0.2734	0.4051 0.2734	0.4051 0.2734	0.4051 0.2734	0.4051 0.2734	0.4051 0 2734	0.4051 0.2734	0.4051 0.2734	0.4051 0.2734	0.4051 0 2734	0.4051 0.2734	0.4051 0.2734	0.4051 0.2734	0.4051 0 2734
* WACC	0.015	1.550	1 515	1.481	1.446	1.412	1 377	1.343	1.309	1.274	1 240	1.205	1.171	1.136	1.102	1.067
=> TUOS	0	2.228	2.194	2.159	2.125	2.090	2 056	2.022	1.987	1.953	1 918	1.884	1.849	1.815	1.780	1.746
==> NPV of TUOS	616.93															
ENet Kadina East telecom bearers	1.617143															
	11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	26/27
* WDV	1.617143 1.698	1.698	1 660	1 6225333	1.5848	1.5470667	1 5093333	1.4716	1.4338667	1.3961333	1.3584	1.3206667	1.2829333	1.2452	1 2074667	1.1697333
* Depreciation over	45 0.015	0.0377 0.0255	0.0377 0.0255	0 0377 0 0255	0.0377 0.0255	0.0377 0.0255	0.0377	0 0377	0.0377 0.0255	0.0377 0.0255	0.0377 0.0255	0 0377 0 0255	0.0377 0.0255	0.0377 0.0255	0.0377 0.0255	0 0377 0 0255
* Opex * WACC	0.015	0.0255	0.0255	0.138	0.0255	0.0255	0.0255 0.128	0 0255 0.125	0.0255	0.0255	0.0255	0.112	0.0255	0.0255	0.0255	0.099
=> TUOS	0.005	0.208	0.141	0.201	0.198	0.195	0.120	0.123	0.122	0.113	0.179	0.175	0.172	0.169	0.166	0.163
	\$1.58															
ETSA Utilites cost	5.714286	40/40	40/44	l aavas l	45/40	I 4047	I 47/40 I	40/40	1 40/00	I 00/04 I	04/00	1 00/00	I 00/04	I 04/05	I 05/00	I 00/07
* WDV	11/12 5.714286 6	12/13 6.000	13/14 5 867	14/15 5.7333333	15/16 5 6	16/17 5.4666667	17/18 5 3333333	18/19 5.2	19/20 5.0666667	20/21 4.9333333	21/22 4.8	22/23 4.6666667	23/24 4.5333333	24/25 4.4	25/26 4 2666667	26/27 4.1333333
* Depreciation over	5.714260 0 45	0.1333	0.1333	0.1333	0.1333	0.1333	0.1333	0.1333	0.1333	0.1333	0.1333	0.1333	0.1333	0.1333	0.1333	0.1333
* Opex	0.015	0.0900	0.0900	0 0900	0.0900	0.0900	0.0900	0 0900	0.0900	0.0900	0.0900	0 0900	0.0900	0.0900	0.0900	0 0900
* WACC	0.085	0.510	0.499	0.487	0.476	0.465	0.453	0.442	0.431	0.419	0.408	0.397	0.385	0.374	0 363	0.351
=> TUOS	0	0.733	0.722	0.711	0.699	0.688	0 677	0.665	0.654	0.643	0 631	0.620	0.609	0.597	0 586	0.575
==> NPV of TUOS	\$5.57															
Total TUOS per year		3.169	3.120	3.071	3.022	2.973	2 924	2.875	2.826	2.777	2.728	2.679	2.630	2.581	2 532	2.483
NPV of total TUOS	524.07															
Cost of Losses	11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	26/27
Losses after implementation (MW)	11/12	0 33	0.36	0.39	0.43	0.48	0.52	0.57	0.63	0 69	0.76	0.83	0.92	1 01	1.11	1.21
Cost of Losses \$	0	0.025	0.007	0.030	0.033	0.036	0.02	0.043	0.048	0.052	0.70	0.063	0.069	0.076	0 084	0.092
=> NPV of Cost of Losses	\$0.37															
Reliability Costs	11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	26/27
	11/12 0	0.000	13/14 0 000	14/15 0.000	0.000	0.000	17/18 0 000	18/19 0.000	0.000	0.000	0 000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0 000	0.000
=> NPV of Reliability Costs	\$0.00	0.000	0 000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0 000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0 000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0 000	0.000
Total for Option 2	524.45															



