

ESCRI-SA

Knowledge Sharing Reference Group Meeting #3

AGL – Commercial Operations

Registration

-agl

• Registration application for raise and lower contingency FCAS services on both DUID's was rejected. Generator raise and load lower was accepted, slightly reducing AGL's regulation FCAS revenue capability.

Successes

Issues

• From the Market Operator perspective, the negotiated access standards maximizes economical use of stored energy.

Future Considerations

- When AEMO's Dispatch Engine is capable of bi-directional energy dispatches, energy storage assets will be able to exercise their full capability in terms of contingency FCAS MW swings.
- Consideration of regulation FCAS to charge the battery.

Commissioning

Issues



- The testing schedule was constrained by HV switching programs, putting pressure on site staff to make maximal use of time in a project with a high component of R&D.
- Bidding, especially during hold point testing where dispatches change minute-to-minute, is difficult.

Successes

· A small, unplanned, FFR response was observed during commissioning.

Future Considerations

- When load is required for islanding tests, load banks should be part of the commissioning plan.
- AEMO to provide consideration of better ways for Asset Operators to bid and dispatch small generators, such as batteries, during commissioning and hold point testing.

SCADA and Operations

Issues

• The importance of AGL's input to SCADA detailed design was diminished by broader project challenges, resulting in suboptimal implementation, satisfying only the *minimum requirements* for market operation.

Successes

- Once commercial operation has commenced, the battery should be able to operate autonomously, with semi-automated bidding and fully automated energy and contingency FCAS dispatch.
- ElectraNet's network infrastructure should provide robust telecommunications access for AGL to Dalrymple North.
- OEM training was valuable.

Future Considerations

• Facilitation of direct communication between Asset Operator and OEM during early project stages would streamline SCADA implementation, reduce rework and produce more elegant outcomes.



Bidding Considerations

Bidding in the National Electricity Market



The battery will participate in the **Energy** and **FCAS** markets of the NEM

Section 3.8 of the National Electricity Rules sets out the requirements for participation in the dispatch process, including:

- Submit dispatch offers for each 48 trading intervals in the trading day (in advance)
- A rebid must be made as soon as practicable after the Market Participant becomes aware of the change in material conditions and circumstances on the basis of which it decides to vary its dispatch offer or dispatch bid

Therefore rebids must be submitted as often as every 5 minutes to reflect changes in the state of charge (SOC)



How do we bid the battery into the market?

Need to rebid Energy Availability, FCAS availability and FCAS trapeziums

Not practical to rebid the battery manually every 5 minutes, 24 x7

How will AGL bid the Dalrymple battery:

- Produced an in-house auto re-bidding software
- Took ~3 months, with collaboration across several business units and required up-skilling of IT workforce
- No 'off-the-shelf' software solutions available at this stage that can cater for a battery (some in the pipe-line?)

The AGL software will perform the following:

- Re-calculate availability (energy and FCAS) every 5 minutes based on State of Charge (SOC) and submit to AEMO
- · Re-bid availability and/or price bands based on a set of business rules



Example: 5 minute vs 30 minute settlement

5 Minute Settlement

As per rule change from 1 July 2021

	5 minute settlement							
Dispatch Interval	Price (\$/MWh)		Dispatch (MW)	Revenue /Cost				
1	\$	14,200	30	\$	35,500	Discharge		
2	-\$	1,000	- 30	\$	2,500	Charge		
3	-\$	1,000	- 30	\$	2,500	Charge		
4	-\$	1,000	- 30	\$	2,500	Charge		
5	\$	50	-	\$		-		
6	\$	50	-	\$	-	-		
	Revenue							

30 Minute Settlement

Current process, until 1 July 2021

30	30 minute settlement						
Dispatch Interval	(\$	Price /MWh)	Dispatch (MW)				
1	\$	14,200		30	Discharge		
2	-\$	1,000	-	30	Charge		
3	-\$	1,000	-	30	Charge		
4	-\$	1,000	-	30	Charge		
5	\$	50		-			
6	\$	50		-			
Ave Price	\$	1,883					
Ave MW	-	10.00					
Ave MWh	-	5.00					
Revenue	-\$	9,417					

How do we manage this risk?



Aim: don't charge the battery during a high average price 30-minute trading interval

Example scenario – high price event (e.g. \$14,500) followed by one of more 5 minute dispatch intervals at a low or negative price (e.g. -\$1,000)

Battery would charge based on -\$1,000 5-minute price but would end up paying a high price (e.g. ~\$2,000) based on the average price for the 30 minutes

Solution: Battery bidding system looks at AEMO Pre-Dispatch (price forecast) to determine whether the trading interval is estimated to end up as a high or low price and will adjust bids accordingly

Doesn't cater for all situations but will reduce risk in common scenarios

Contact



Bruce Bennett

Manager, Connection Access (02) 9921 2606 0419 814 393 bbennett@agl.com.au

Luke Shortal

Systems Engineer – SCADA Controls (03) 8633 7907 0488 300 137 Ishortal@agl.com.au

Zac Ryan

Physical Markets Trader (03) 8633 6060 0427 308 522 zryan@agl.com.au







Downloa d the app



agl.com.au /community facebook.co m/aglenergy

ኇ



twitter.com/

@aglenergy

youtube.com/ aglenergy